Regional concentration of university graduates:
The role of high school grades and parental background

Kent Eliasson[a,b]
Mika Haapanen[c]
Olle Westerlund[b,*]

a Swedish Agency for Growth Policy Analysis, Östersund, Sweden

b Umeå School of Business, Economics and Statistics, Umeå University, Sweden

c School of Business and Economics, University of Jyväskylä, Finland

Abstract

In this paper, we analyse long-term changes in the regional distribution and migration flows of university graduates in Finland and Sweden. The study is based on detailed longitudinal population register data, including information on high school grades and parental background. We find a distinct pattern of skill divergence across regions in both countries over the last three decades. The uneven distribution of human capital has been reinforced by the mobility patterns among university graduates, for whom regional sorting by high school grades and parental background is evident. Our findings indicate that traditional measures of human capital concentration most likely underscore actual regional differences in productive skills.

Keywords: Human capital, university graduates, migration, school grades, parental education, local labour market areas

JEL classification: R12, R10, R23, J61, J24

1 Introduction

Urbanisation and the increased concentration of human capital in larger and more densely populated regional labour markets have been occurring for many years and are evident in most countries (Iammarino et al. 2019, OECD 2018). A number of recent studies have documented the cumulative nature of skill agglomeration and its geographical consequences for economic development and various other socioeconomic outcomes in different regions. For example, Moretti (2012) concludes that the level of education in the workforce has been the main predictor of the long-term economic success of regions in the US. Skill-biased technological change and globalisation have altered the relative productivity among categories of workers, generally favouring highly skilled workers and human capital-intensive regions.

In this paper, we analyse the geographical distribution of skills and the human capital content of migration flows among regions using detailed Finnish and Swedish longitudinal population register data. In addition to the commonly used indicator of human capital measured by the level of educational attainment, we also utilise information on school grades and parents' socioeconomic status.

Berry and Glaeser (2005) and Austin et al. (2018) analyse the skill distribution across US local labour markets during the last three decades and find a strong positive correlation between the initial share of adults with a college degree and the change in the percentage of adults with a college degree. The divergence in skills is accompanied by a declining rate or lack of income convergence for the US regions in recent years (Ganong and Shoag 2017, Austin et al. 2018), a development that stands in stark contrast to the period between 1880 and 1980 when, with few exceptions, poorer states tended to grow faster than richer ones (Barro & Sala-i-Martin 1999). The geographical concentration of economic activities is generally considered to be favourable for economic growth through different mechanisms giving rise to agglomeration economies (Marshall 1890, Duranton & Puga 2004). Agglomeration economies are reflected in higher productivity of labour and an urban wage premium (UWP) in larger labour markets.

Empirical estimates in early studies suggest large effects of labour market size on labour productivity, while recent studies indicate that the causal effects of agglomeration can be overstated because of residential self-selection, i.e., labour with relatively high productivity self-selects into metropolitan areas and other larger or more densely populated labour markets. If adequate adjustments are not made for individual ability, the estimates of the UWP will have an upward bias (e.g., Combes et al. 2008, Andersson et al. 2014, Korpi and Clark 2019).

This study is exploratory in nature. We make no attempt to identify the causal effects of human capital on productivity or to quantify the magnitude of residential selection bias on estimates of agglomeration benefits. Instead, we contribute by showing new empirical evidence on the systematic self-selection of human capital into agglomerations through migration flows upwards and downwards in the regional (size) hierarchy. We use highly informative data covering thirty years of yearly observations of all individuals of working age in the two Nordic countries. Linked employer-employee data contain information on the locations of workplaces as well as places of residence. We use functional regional labour markets as regional entities instead of administrative geographical jurisdictions. Family identifiers allow for observations of parents' socioeconomic status, which together with information on high school grades provide information on heterogeneity in ability among university graduates.

Finland and Sweden share important economic and institutional characteristics. They are open market economies that are strongly dependent on international trade. Both countries are welfare states with relatively large public sectors and extensive social security systems. Both countries are within the EU inner market. The technological level of production is high, the workforce is well educated, and per capita income is high in both economies. Other common features are the high degree of urbanisation and population concentration in capitals and larger cities. The same applies to time trends in the production structure from industry to services, increased shares of highly educated persons in the workforce and internal migration flows favouring larger cities and more densely populated geographical labour markets. Our comparative approach is facilitated by access to comparable population data.

To preview some of our results, we find for both countries a positive and robust correlation across regions between the initial share of workers with a university degree and the change in this share during the last three decades. Local labour markets with high initial shares have systematically experienced a larger increase in the share of university graduates. We further find that the migration behaviour of individuals with a university degree reinforces the pattern of skill divergence across regions. Large (populous and dense) local labour markets receive considerable net in-migration flows of young university graduates, the most migration-prone population, while small local labour markets experience large net out-migration flows. However, larger regions are not only net attractors of young university graduates in quantitative terms, but we also find a distinct migration pattern in qualitative terms. The data reveal that the share of migrants moving upwards in the regional hierarchy, i.e., from smaller to larger local labour markets, increases consistently with indicators of ability. Migration upwards in the regional hierarchy is also found to be associated with strong family backgrounds of migrants in terms of parents' education and earnings.

The next section provides a brief overview of theoretical mechanisms and empirical findings in previous research on agglomeration, the urban wage premium and the geographical sorting of human capital through migration. Data and measurement are presented in Section 3, and Section 4 provides a description of the geographical distribution of university graduates and the evolution of skill divergence over time. Section 5 focuses on the role of migration for the redistribution of human capital across regions and heterogeneity in migration flows by individuals' school grades and parental background. A summary and discussion are provided in Section 6.

2   Agglomeration, productivity and residential self-selection

The positive association between human capital and growth is documented in numerous studies on aggregate data for regions and countries (see, e.g., Barro & Sala-i-Martin 1999, Östbye & Westerlund 2007 on Swedish and Norwegian data, Karhunen 2008 on Finnish data, and Crespo Cuaresma et al. 2018 on European data). Hanushek et al. (2017) use measures of average cognitive ability and educational attainment across states in the US as indicators of knowledge capital. They find a roughly equal contribution by educational attainment and cognitive skills to the total estimate of 20–30% of the interstate variation in per capita GDP.

The present study relates more closely to the research on agglomeration economies and the systematic sorting of skills through migration. Several plausible mechanisms may explain the causal effects of agglomeration on productivity. These mechanisms may be classified into three categories: sharing, matching and learning (Duranton & Puga 2004).

Agglomeration economies can generally be attributed to the broad categories of factors of production – capital, labour and technology. The primary concern in this study lies with the agglomeration of human capital, i.e., not only with the quantities of labour but also quality in terms of productive skills/ability. Such skills may signal higher productivity in a static meaning but also learning and communication/interaction capabilities. The geographical concentration of labour in quantitative terms, i.e., at any given level of skill, may increase productivity because of firms' opportunities to exploit labour pooling or increased job search and job-matching efficiency (e.g., Gobillon et al. 2007, Wasmer & Zenou 2002). Individuals' abilities can interact with agglomeration in various ways. Larger local labour markets offer a larger variety of skills among job searchers, as well as a larger variety in firms' demand for skills (e.g., Wheeler 2001, Abel & Deitz 2015). The productivity gains of higher job-finding rates and better quality of matches may increase with ability through higher search efficiency, and via higher ability to process information in the evaluation of alternative job offers.

Individuals benefit from agglomerations not only because of direct effects on employment and wage levels but also because of dynamic effects through human capital accumulation and therefore higher wage growth through matching and learning mechanisms. This reasoning is consistent with observations of higher returns on human capital in larger cities, especially for the highly educated (e.g., Glaeser & Resseger 2010). Self-selection into more high-skilled jobs may contribute to higher returns. Both low-skilled and high-skilled job searchers benefit from being matched with highly skilled co-workers, but the beneficial effect can be stronger for highly skilled workers (Venables 2011). New technologies are usually implemented in urban environments first. Complementarity between ability and technology (Acemoglu 1999, Caselli 1999) may increase the comparative advantage of highly skilled workers to locate in urban labour markets. In all, these mechanisms imply a wage premium of agglomeration (UWP).

Recent empirical studies have challenged earlier findings of a large UWP. Ciccone and Hall (1996) found for states in the US that a doubling of the geographical density of employed workers was associated with 5–6% higher wages. Recent studies with better data and control for residential selectivity indicate smaller estimates of the urban wage premium, approximately 2–4% (Combes et al. 2008, Mion & Naticchioni 2009, Andersson et al. 2014, Pekkarinen 2002, De la Roca and Puga, 2017).

Combes et al. (2008) find that 40–50% of aggregate regional wage differentials in France are accounted for by the regional sorting of labour on observed and unobserved skills. Mion and Naticchioni (2009) report similar results for Italy. Using Swedish data, Andersson et al. (2014) conclude that the spatial sorting of labour is the main explanation of higher earnings in dense labour markets.[1] Pekkarinen (2002) finds for the Finnish metal industry that real hourly wages are 2.4% higher in urban areas than in rural areas after controlling for several individual, job and firm characteristics. He did not observe significant regional differences in the wage gains from changing firms within the industry.[2]

Studies on internal migration show that moves over longer distances/between functional labour markets are mostly undertaken by young people and that the propensity to migrate increases with educational attainment (Greenwood 1997, Machin et al. 2012, Böckerman & Haapanen 2013). Research also shows that the spatial sorting of skills through migration is evident in most developed countries (Winters 2011 on US data; Faggian & McCann 2009 on data from

---

[1] Their conclusion is in line with Eriksson and Rodríguez-Pose (2017), who report relatively higher productivity effects of labour migration to plants located in the large labour markets in Sweden.

[2] Using regional data for Finland, Ottaviano and Pinelli (2006) find that initial level of education is positively associated with population growth (net migration) after the recession of the 1990s.

Great Britain; van Venhorst et al. 2010 on data from the Netherlands; Haapanen & Tervo 2012 on Finnish data; and Henning 2019 and Tano et al. 2018 on Swedish data).

In sum, previous research provides several theoretical mechanisms and solid empirical support for the positive static and dynamic effects of the regional concentration of human capital on income as well as the systematic sorting of highly educated individuals into agglomerations. Our study unveils considerably heterogeneity in ability within this group of migrants that differs systematically between upward and downward migration flows.

3   Data and measurement

We use data on the entire populations of Finland and Sweden. The data originate from various registers administered by Statistics Finland and Statistics Sweden. By matching the unique personal identifiers of individuals across censuses/registers, the panel data sets provide a variety of reliable, register-based information regarding residents, including their educational qualifications and locations of residence and workplace. Annual data are available from 1986/7 (Sweden/Finland) to 2015. Due to data protection issues, the Finnish and Swedish registers are used in different research environments. The regional classifications available to us are from 2014 for Finland and from 2015 for Sweden.

Next, we briefly describe the regional classifications and key variables that we use in the empirical analyses. The analyses focus on the working-age population between 17 and 64 years of age. Additional sample restrictions are described below.

Regional classifications

To measure the allocation of human capital, we utilise *local labour market areas* (LMAs) that are defined using commuting flows between municipalities. LMAs (travel-to-work areas) are formed by joining a central municipality and a surrounding municipality (or municipalities) from which at least 10% (7.5%) of the labour force commute to the central municipality, as defined by Statistics Finland (Statistics Sweden). Thus, LMAs are functional labour markets in which most people tend to both live and work.

In Finland, there were 320 municipalities in 2014. Of them, 236 municipalities form 42 travel-to-work areas. The remaining 84 municipalities that lie outside travel-to-work areas are self-contained labour market areas, each forming a separate area in this study. Thus, our analysis uses information on 126 Finnish local labour markets. Correspondingly, in 2015, 290 Swedish municipalities were aggregated into 69 LMAs (46 of which are LMAs containing two or more municipalities and 23 of which are self-contained municipalities forming their own travel-to-work area).

In some analyses, we further aggregate the local labour market areas into three larger regions based on the population size of the LMA (Figure 1): i) *large regions* (Helsinki in Finland and Stockholm, Göteborg and Malmö in Sweden); ii) *medium-sized regions*, defined as LMAs with a minimum total population of 100,000 inhabitants; and iii) *small regions*, defined as LMAs with a population size of less than 100,000 inhabitants.

Figure 1. Regional classification of local labour market areas into small, medium-sized and large regions.

Panel A: Finland

Panel B: Sweden

There are 10 medium-sized regions and 115 small regions in Finland and 19 medium-sized local labour markets and 47 small regions in Sweden. The medium-sized regions are typically regional administrative centres and contain universities/polytechnics located outside metropolitan regions.[3] With a few exceptions, the small regions do not include regional administrative centres.

Human capital

The concept of human capital is multidimensional, including factors such as cognitive and non-cognitive skills and health. The various dimensions may be interrelated and come from nature and/or nurture. To quantify the level of human capital in the LMAs, we use information regarding an individual's educational attainment, high school grades and parent's socioeconomic status.

The core of the analysis pertains to recent graduates, i.e., individuals with an educational attainment corresponding to a short or long university degree. Long university education refers to bachelor's and master's degrees from polytechnics and universities with a programme length of at least three years of tertiary-level education. Below, the term "university education" refers to polytechnic and university degrees. Short university education is defined as one or two years of tertiary-level education. In the Finnish context, short university degrees refer mainly to former vocational college degrees that have been replaced by polytechnic bachelor's degrees (since the mid-1990s).

Educational attainment is arguably a relevant indicator of productive skills with documented statistical power in quantitative research, e.g., in studies on regional growth, earnings and internal migration. However, there is substantial heterogeneity in ability within crude categories of educational attainment. For example, among students with a bachelor's or master's degree, variation in academic performance can be anything between excellence and substantial difficulties in meeting minimum requirements for graduation. As indirect measures of this heterogeneity, we add two indicators: individual's high school grades (GPA) and parental background.

School grades are not perfect measures of ability, but a portion of the variation in ability within categories of educational attainment is most likely reflected by school grades. Intelligence is shown to correlate with school grades but is far from the only factor, since the ability to organise studies, motivation, time management and social competence are important factors. Both cognitive and non-cognitive skills may contribute to variance in school grades (e.g., Spinath et al. 2006, Roth et al. 2015).

Parental background correlates with children's socioeconomic outcomes through genetic and environmental factors. Strenze (2007) reviews and analyses previous research on intelligence as a predictor of socioeconomic outcomes. Intelligence is found to be a powerful predictor but not overwhelmingly better than parents' socioeconomic status or school grades.

We use parental education and earnings as indicators of socioeconomic status. The nature and nurture mechanisms explaining the predictive power of parental background are complex. In addition to parents' role in children's academic achievement, socioeconomic status may also have a direct impact on residential selectivity. In terms of migration and selective location choice of young people, parental earnings can be an important factor in a tight residential market in urban areas. Finding an affordable permanent contract/dwelling in high-growth

---

[3] Supplementary Appendix (Tables A1–A2) provides the names of the medium-sized regions and their population size.

agglomerations without parental backing can be extremely difficult for young people. Job searchers with little or no parental backup may find it optimal to search for jobs and accept job offers in labour markets outside high-cost agglomerations, e.g., outside Stockholm in the Swedish context.[4]

Location and migration

Location is defined by using information on the locations of workplaces or residences. The location of the workplace is used when we discuss the regional distribution of workers, whereas the location of the residence is used to illustrate migration rates across regions. We examine migration rates across local labour markets as well as migration between the three types of labour markets (large, medium-sized and small regions) as defined above.

We consider migration among recent graduates and working-age populations. For recent graduates, migration is defined using information on the location of the residence at age 17 relative to the location of the residence five years after graduation. For the whole working-age population, migration is defined as a change in the location of residence between two consecutive years (e.g., the last date of 2014 vs. 2015).

4   Geographical distribution of university graduates and skill divergence

*Changes in the proportion of highly educated workers*

Figure 2 demonstrates regional differences in the growth of the proportions relative to the baseline proportions in the LMAs (mid-1980s vs. mid-2010s). Calculations are based on observations of workers in their prime (aged 25–54) and the location of their workplace. Although the proportion of highly educated workers increased during the observation period in all LMAs in Finland and Sweden, the increase has been more substantial in some LMAs than in others. In particular, there has been a clear tendency towards regional divergence in the proportions of university-educated workers over time. The changes in the proportions have been larger in LMAs that already had a high proportion of university-educated workers, as shown by the positive relationship between the baseline proportion in 1986/7 and changes in the proportion from 1986/7 until 2015.

Figure 2 also shows a linear prediction from a simple bivariate (OLS) regression model. The positive relationship is slightly stronger in Sweden (where the correlation coefficient is 0.71) than in Finland (0.65). One possible explanation for the weaker relationship seen in Finland could be the recent expansion of polytechnic education in Finland, which substantially increased the level of education across the country. Also note that, unlike in Sweden, the increase in the proportion of university-educated workers in Finland has been smaller in the metropolitan area of Helsinki than in many medium-sized LMAs (such as Oulu, Tampere and Vaasa). In Sweden, the three metropolitan regions of Stockholm, Göteborg and Malmö and many of the university-dominated medium-sized LMAs have experienced substantial increases in the proportion of university-educated workers.

---

[4] This is in line with the residential-cost explanation of increased skill concentration in urban areas. Generally, increased productivity in agglomerations affects land rents, and only agents with sufficiently high productivity will be able to locate themselves in agglomerations (e.g. Behrens et al. 2014). Inelastic housing supply would reinforce this selection mechanism. See also Berry and Glaeser (2005).

Figure 2. Initial proportion of workers with long university education and change in the proportion of workers with long university education

Panel A: Finland in 1987-2015

Panel B: Sweden in 1986–2015

Notes: Data are for local labour markets and are based on the location of the workplace.

Finally, note the estimated linear predictions for the two countries. For Finland, the estimated slope parameter of the linear regression is 1.43 ($p < 0.001$), which means that a 1% increase in the initial share of university-educated workers is associated with a 1.43% increase in the share over a thirty-year period. For Sweden, the corresponding positive relationship is similar (1.39; $p < 0.001$).

Table 1 presents OLS estimates of the relationship between the change in the share of workers with a university degree and the initial share of university-educated workers across local labour markets for six sub-periods. The table indicates divergence in all periods. The results for Finland (Panel A) and Sweden (Panel B) show that the higher the initial share of workers with university education, the higher the growth in the share of university educated workers. The difference between the relatively weak indications of divergence for 1990–1995 compared with the high estimate for 1995–2000 for Sweden and for 2000–2005 for Finland is striking. To some extent, this is presumably a reflection of the deep recession during the former period (in both countries), followed by a macroeconomic recovery with increased labour demand and higher mobility in the latter periods. The magnitude of divergence also seems to be lower during the last decade up to 2015.

Table 1. Changes in the share of workers with long university education across local labour markets: five-year intervals 1986/7–2015

Panel A: Finland	1987–1990	1990–1995	1995–2000	2000–2005	2005–2010	2010–2015
Initial share	0.080***	0.135***	0.173***	0.256***	0.188***	0.070**
	(0.027)	(0.033)	(0.035)	(0.033)	(0.026)	(0.030)
R-squared	0.07	0.12	0.17	0.33	0.30	0.04
Correlation	0.26	0.34	0.41	0.57	0.55	0.21
Panel B: Sweden	1986–1990	1990–1995	1995–2000	2000–2005	2005–2010	2010–2015
Initial share	0.197***	0.125***	0.287***	0.186***	0.111***	0.090***
	(0.037)	(0.024)	(0.034)	(0.029)	(0.016)	(0.017)
R-squared	0.30	0.30	0.52	0.38	0.40	0.31
Correlation	0.54	0.54	0.72	0.62	0.64	0.55

Notes: Data are for 126 local labour markets in Finland and 69 local labour markets in Sweden. The results are based on OLS estimates of the following form: Share university $_T$ − Share university $_0$ = $\alpha + \beta *$ Share university $_0 + \varepsilon$, where T is the end of a sub-period (e.g., 1995) and 0 is the initial share at the start of a sub-period (e.g., 1990). Standard errors are in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

In summary, the level of human capital measured by educational attainment increased substantially in both countries during the 1986/7–2015 period. The growth in the share of workers with long university education was positive in all local labour markets. However, the overall pattern is higher growth rates of human capital in larger regions with an initial high share of highly educated workers. The tendency of long-run spatial concentration and regional divergence in human capital is also evident and consistent in estimates of medium-run changes. However, the rate of divergence seems to have tapered off somewhat during later periods of observation (2005–2015) – first in Sweden and around five years later in Finland.

5 Migration and regional sorting of skills

In this section, we examine migration of human capital and show that the tendency of increased spatial concentration of skills in Finland and Sweden seems to be stronger than indicated above. We begin with basic facts concerning migration by age and educational attainment. We then focus in greater detail on the migration pattern among recent university graduates. We consider not only the human capital content of migration flows in quantitative terms but also qualitative aspects related to migrants' ability.

Migration by age and education

Interregional migration rates across local labour markets by age and educational level by and large follow a pattern that is consistent with human capital theory (see Figure A1 in the Supplementary Appendix). The propensity to migrate peaks at a young age and decreases to a much lower level in middle age and older age. Migration rates also generally increase with educational level, particularly at high-mobility ages up to 30–35 years of age. Interestingly, in both Finland and Sweden, migration rates at 35–45 years of age are highest for individuals with low educational levels, possibly reflecting that those with low educational attainment are forced to search for employment and educational opportunities outside their LMA at older ages.

Regional patterns of change in populations of university graduates and the contribution of migration

Migration flows between local labour markets in Finland and Sweden are dominated by fairly young people with high education. To analyse migration for this group, we created data sets for Finland and Sweden that cover all individuals who have graduated from at least three years of tertiary education during the period 2001–2010 (at 32 years of age or younger). Migration is observed by comparing place of residence prior to tertiary education with post-education location.

Changes in the regional stocks of the highly educated by size of regional labour markets are presented in Table 2. Panel A at the top of the table shows figures for Finland, and Panel B at the bottom shows figures for Sweden. Column (1) presents the number of university graduates originating from the three types of regions (labelled "starters"). Here, region of origin is determined according to the location of residence at age 17, prior to university education. Columns (2) and (3) show gross in-migration and out-migration flows following graduation by comparing graduates' place of origin with their place of residence five years after graduation. Column (4) reports net-migration flows, and column (5) presents the location of graduates at the end of the follow-up period ("finishers").[5] In the final column (6), we report population changes relative to the number of starters.

---

[5] Note that migration from one LMA to another within a single region (e.g. from one medium-sized LMA to another) is not counted here as a move.

Table 2. Components of change in the number of university graduates by type of region, 2001–2010

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) = (2)–(3)	(5) = (1)+(4)	(6) = [(5)–(1)]/(1)
	Starters	In-migrants	Out-migrants	Net migration	Finishers	Change (starters vs. finishers)
Panel A: Finland						
Large regions	72,244	59,544	7,334	52,210	124,454	+72.3%
Medium-sized regions	112,673	48,189	35,909	12,280	124,953	+10.9%
Small regions	125,856	10,262	74,752	-64,490	61,366	-51.2%
Panel B: Sweden						
Large regions	109,335	54,755	9,580	45,175	154,510	+41.3%
Medium-sized regions	97,469	19,106	42,428	-23,322	74,147	-23.9%
Small regions	41,670	5,150	27,003	-21,853	19,817	-52.4%

Notes: Data include all individuals aged 32 years or younger who graduated from at least three years of tertiary education during the period 2001–2010. Migration is based on the location of residence at age 17 relative to the location of residence five years after graduation.

The impact of interregional migration is striking. In both countries, in-migration to metropolitan areas by university graduates has been large (in absolute and relative terms). Meanwhile, because their out-migration from metropolitan regions has been small, these regions have managed to increase their population of university graduates substantially, by 72% in Finland and 41% in Sweden.

In-migration to medium-sized regions has been much greater in Finland than in Sweden, but out-migration has been similar in both countries. Because of large in-migration, net migration to medium-sized regions in Finland has been positive (+11% relative to starters), whereas the corresponding figure is negative for Sweden (-24%).

In both countries, small regions are unable to attract university graduates. In Finland, many future university graduates originate from these regions, but relatively few decide to reside there after graduation. In Sweden, the net migration of university graduates from small regions is substantially smaller in absolute numbers than in Finland but similar in relative terms (approximately -52% in both countries). Substantially more university graduates originally (at age 17) hail from small regions in Finland than in Sweden, where far more graduates come from large metropolitan regions.

In summary, the above analysis shows a considerable quantitative redistribution of recent university graduates over time from smaller labour markets towards larger labour markets in both countries. This finding is in line with those of previous studies on migration among university graduates (see, e.g., Faggian et al. 2007a,b, Faggian & McCann 2009, Venhorst et al. 2011, Haapanen & Tervo 2012).

We now turn to the qualitative dimension of migration flows among university graduates. As indicated in Section 3, we use school grades and parental background as proxies for ability and ambition. The two proxies are not perfect measures, but they are shown to be attributes that are valued in the labour market and are associated with more favourable socioeconomic outcomes

in general. They are correlated with each other but not perfectly so. Two alternative measures of parental background are used – education and earnings. In addition to the influence on children's ability, parental background may affect migration through individuals' position in the housing market, a potentially critical aspect for migration into high-cost areas (Coulter 2017, Öst 2011).

Figures 3–4 present the shares of university graduates moving upward in the regional hierarchy distributed on either upper secondary school grade point average (GPA) or parents' level of education. The analysis is based on all individuals 32 years or younger who graduated from at least three years of university education during the period 2001–2010. In total, 310,773 graduates were in Finland, and 248,474 graduates were in Sweden. As before, migration is observed by comparing place of residence prior to tertiary education with post-education location. The analysis refers to LMAs aggregated into the aforementioned three size categories small, medium-sized and large regions, respectively. For each origin-destination combination, the share of migrants from region of size category i to region of size category j (m_{ij}) is calculated as m_{ij} = (migrants from region i to region j)/(stayers in region i + migrants from region i to j). With this specification, the focus is on whether, e.g., individuals moving from the LMAs in the small regions to LMAs in the large regions differ in our ability-related attributes compared to stayers in the LMAs of the small regions.

Assuming that upper secondary school grades are positively correlated with productive skills, Figure 3 indicates that the regional sorting on educational attainment shown in Figure A1 represents an understatement of the actual regional sorting of skills. There is an almost monotonic increase in the share of university graduates moving upwards in the regional hierarchy from the first to the tenth decile of the upper secondary grade distribution. Moreover, this association is especially evident at the top of the grade distribution and for migration from small to large regions. Among university graduates in the top decile of the grade distribution, the share of graduates from small regions moving to large regions is approximately 60% and 70% in Finland and Sweden, respectively. The corresponding figures for graduates in the lower end of the grade distribution are approximately 30% and 40%.

Figure 3. Share of university graduates moving upward in the regional hierarchy, distributed on deciles of upper secondary school GPA, 2001–2010

Panel A: Finland

Panel B: Sweden

Notes: Based on all individuals 32 years or younger who graduated from at least three years of university education during the period 2001–2010. Migration is based on the location of residence at age 17 relative to the location of residence five years after graduation.

The share of university graduates moving in the reverse direction (Figure A2 in Appendix), i.e., downward in the regional system, indicates a negative sorting on school grades for migration from large to small regions. Migration from medium-sized to small regions in Sweden and from large to medium-sized regions in Finland show an opposite pattern, i.e., in these cases, the share of movers is larger for individuals with high grades than for those with low grades. However, the difference in the magnitude of migration flows between Figures 3 and A2 (regarding the scales of the vertical axis) indicates the large dominance of migration flows among university graduates upwards in the regional hierarchy over migration flows directed downwards towards smaller regions.

Turning to the parental background of university graduates and migration flows from smaller to larger regions, our results indicate positive sorting on parents' education into larger regions (Figure 4).[6] The share of university graduates in each upward migration flow increases with parents' level of education. This association seems to be strongest for migration into large regions. In Finland, the share of graduates moving from small to large regions is 36% among graduates without a university-educated parent, compared to 63% for graduates with two highly educated parents. The corresponding figures in Sweden are 46% and 74%, respectively.

---

[6] Results are similar when we replace the variable describing parents' education with parents' earnings as illustrated by Figures A4 and A5 in the Appendix.

Figure 4. Share of university graduates moving upward in the regional hierarchy, distributed on parents' education

Panel A: Finland

Panel B: Sweden

Notes: See the notes for Figure 3.

As for migration flows downward in the regional system, Figure A3 in Appendix indicates falling shares of university graduates by parents' education for moves from large to small regions and from large to medium-sized regions in both countries. The pattern for migration from medium-sized to small regions differs by country, but again, the size of these downward migration flows is small compared to the size of the upward migration flows.

In sum, we have shown that the gross migration exchange of university graduates constitutes a large proportion of net changes in regional stocks of human capital. We have also shown that within the group of university-educated migrants, there is substantial sorting on school grades and parental background. Migration upwards in the regional system is associated with higher school grades and more favourable parental backgrounds in terms of education and earnings. Downward migration in the regional hierarchy is less important for the relocation of skills.

6   Summary and discussion

In this paper, we have analysed the geographical distribution of skills and the human capital content of migration flows between functional local labour markets in Finland and Sweden. We use a purely descriptive approach to show the broad picture of recent location patterns and long-term changes over time. Highly informative population register data sets, which are comparable between the two countries, provide new information that unveils systematic heterogeneity in migration flows by the size of labour markets.

Our findings show a consistent pattern of skill divergence across functional regional labour markets during the last three decades and striking similarities between the two Nordic countries. We find a robust positive correlation across Finnish and Swedish regions between the initial share of workers with a university degree and the change over time in this share. Local labour markets with high initial shares have consistently experienced a larger increase in the share of university-educated workers. Furthermore, the migration behaviour of recent university graduates reinforces the pattern of skill divergence across regions. The largest and most population-dense regions receive large net in-migration flows of young university graduates, while smaller regions experience large net out-migration flows. This finding represents a quantitatively significant aspect of the reallocation of human capital because of the combination of high education and high migration rates.

Not only are larger regions net attractors of young university graduates quantitatively, but migration patterns are also distinct in qualitative terms. Our results show that the share of university-educated migrants moving upwards in the regional hierarchy increases with ability. The higher students' grades are in high school, the higher the share of university graduates who move from smaller to larger regions. We also show that migration upwards in the regional hierarchy is positively related to socioeconomic status in terms of parents' education or earnings. Thus, our findings imply that the observed regional concentration of highly educated individuals measured in terms of educational attainment most likely underestimates the true concentration of productive abilities.

The descriptive statistics presented in this study provide support for argumentation regarding the careful identification of the causal effects of agglomeration on earnings and labour productivity, or almost any other socioeconomic outcome. Information on educational attainment is clearly relevant but most likely not sufficient to control for heterogeneity in human capital and residential self-selection. In some situations, data on people's labour market history may reveal unmeasured ability, which allows us to address residential selectivity by investigating changes in earnings that occur before and after migration. However, a large share

17

of migration between local labour markets pertains to young people with high education with either no or very limited labour market experience before migration. The lack of pre-migration earnings or labour market histories makes it much harder to correct for residential self-selection. Direct measurement or relevant proxies of productive skills, such as school grades and parents' socioeconomic status, should therefore be of great interest in the measurement of human capital stocks and the skill composition of migration flows.

In many countries, growing income inequalities and the increased spatial concentration of human capital have occurred alongside changes in the political arena. Iammarino et al. (2019) argue that regional economic divergence has become a threat to economic progress and a source of social and political instability in Europe. Disparities in regional socioeconomic conditions are now a major political issue in many countries. However, what sorts of interventions are most likely to be effective? This question is clearly difficult to answer. Kline and Moretti (2014), Austin et al. (2018) and Iammarino et al. (2019) discuss various aspects of place-based (or place-sensitive) development policies. Place-based policies target specific geographic areas for some type of treatment.[7] One conclusion from these studies is that place-based policies must be tailored to the receiving regions' specific comparative advantages and challenges. One-size-fits-all policy will not work. Another important conclusion is that place-based policies most likely involve difficult equity-efficiency trade-offs. Increasing the efficiency of resource allocation may lead to greater divergence in economic development across regions.

The equity-efficiency trade-off is partially due to agglomeration economies and urban wage premiums. The positive relationship between the size of regions and workers' productivity/earnings is well documented in the economic literature. Agglomeration of skills into larger regions arises because many university-educated workers themselves choose to locate in such areas. Presumably, this reflects their utility gains from location decisions, since previous research has shown that the urban wage premium is especially large for highly educated workers. However, the observed skill divergence across regions is challenging. The fact that many small and medium-sized regions experience a net loss of university-educated workers makes it more difficult for those regions to attract innovative firms. This reduces the supply of attractive jobs in these locations, which in turn makes it more difficult to keep and attract highly skilled people.

Policies aiming to substantially reverse the observed patterns of location choice among highly educated individuals may be overwhelmingly costly. Improved integration between smaller and larger labour markets can be a more efficient strategy. Constraints in the housing market and the transport infrastructure are potentially important explanations for why firms in the metropolitan regions find it difficult to fill vacancies. Recent findings from the US indicate that the economic benefits of increased housing supply in metropolitan areas can be fairly large (Hsieh & Moretti 2019). Investments in transport infrastructure and public transportation that both facilitate efficient mobility within metropolitan areas and stimulate integration between larger and smaller local labour markets may increase labour supply and reduce spatial mismatch. This may further enable small and medium-sized regions to tap into the agglomeration advantages of larger local labour markets, and offer some relief for the tight housing and labour markets in the metropolitan areas. Investments in education can reduce skill mismatch and promote employment. However, investments in higher education can also

---

[7] Place-based policies are in this sense different from people-based policies, which target particular groups of individuals depending on their circumstances (e.g., unemployment benefits and different types of welfare programs for households).

accelerate the flow of workers to the larger regions because most jobs (and the greatest economic returns) for the highly educated are located in larger, densely populated areas.

References

Abel, J. R. & Deitz, R. (2015). Agglomeration and job matching among college graduates. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 51(4), 14–24.

Acemoglu, D. (1999). Changes in unemployment and wage inequality: An alternative theory and some evidence. *American Economic Review*, 89(5), 1259–1279.

Andersson, M., Klaesson, J. & Larsson, J. P. (2014). The sources of the urban wage premium by worker skills: Spatial sorting or agglomeration economies? *Papers in Regional Science*, 93(4), 727–747.

Austin, B, Glaeser, E. & Summers, L. (2018). Saving the heartland: Place-based policies in 21st Century America. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, spring 2018, 151–232.

Barro, R. & Sala-I-Martin, X. (1999). *Economic Growth*. The MIT Press: Cambridge, MA.

Behrens, K., Duranton, G. & Robert-Nicoud, F. (2014). Productive cities: Sorting, selection, and agglomeration. *Journal of Political Economy,* 122(3), 507–553.

Berry, C. R. & Glaeser, E. L. (2005). The divergence of human capital levels across cities. *Papers in Regional Science*, 84(3), 407–444.

Böckerman, P. & Haapanen, M. (2013). The effect of a polytechnic reform on migration. *Journal of Population Economics,* 26(2), 593–617.

Caselli, F. (1999). Technological revolutions. *American Economic Review*, 89:1, 78–102.

Ciccone, A. & Hall, R. E. (1996). Productivity and the density of economic activity. *The American Economic* Review, 86(1), 54–70.

Combes, P.-P., Duranton, G. & Gobillon, L. (2008). Spatial wage disparities: Sorting matters! *Journal of Urban Economics*, 63, 723–742.

Coulter, R. (2017). Local house prices, parental background and young adults: homeownership in England and Wales. *Urban Studies*, 54(14), 3360–3379.

Crespo Cuaresma, J., Doppelhofer, G., Huber, F. & Piribauer, P. (2018). Human capital accumulation and long-term income growth projections for European regions. *Journal of Regional Science*, 58(1), 81–99.

De la Roca, J. & Puga, D. (2017). Learning by working in big cities. *Review of Economic Studies,* 84(1), 106–142.

Duranton, G. & Puga, D. (2004). Micro-foundations of urban agglomeration economies, in Henderson V. & Thisse, J-F. (eds.) *Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics,* 4, Amsterdam, North-Holland, pp. 2063–2117.

Eriksson, R. & Rodríguez-Pose, A. (2017). Job-related mobility and plant performance in Sweden. *Geoforum*, 83, 39–49.

Faggian, A. & McCann, P. (2009). Universities, agglomerations and graduate human capital mobility. *Tijdschrift voor economische en sociale geografie*, 100(2), 210–223.

Faggian, A., McCann, P. & Sheppard, S. (2007a). Human capital, higher education and graduate migration: An analysis of Scottish and Welsh students. *Urban Studies*, 44(13), 2511–2528.

Faggian, A., McCann, P. & Sheppard, S. (2007b). Some evidence that women are more mobile than men: Gender differences in U.K. graduate migration behavior. *Journal of Regional Science*, 47(3), 517–539.

Ganong, P. & Shoag, D. (2017). Why has regional income convergence in the U.S. declined? *Journal of Urban Economics*, 102, 76–90.

Greenwood, M. (1997). Internal migration in developed countries, in M R. Rosenweig & O. Stark (eds.), *Handbook of Population and Family Economics*, vol. 1B. Elsevier: Amsterdam, pp. 647–711.

Glaeser, E. L. & Resseger, M. G. (2010). The complementarity between cities and skills. *Journal of Regional Science*, 50(1), 221–244.

Gobillon, L., Selod, H. & Zenou, Y. (2007). The mechanisms of spatial mismatch. *Urban Studies*, 44(12), 2401–2427.

Haapanen, M. & Tervo, H. (2012). Migration of the highly educated: Evidence from residence spells of university graduates. *Journal of Regional Science*, 52(4), 587–605.

Hanushek, E. A., Ruhose, J. & Woessmann, L. (2017). Knowledge capital and aggregate income differences: Development accounting for US States. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 9(4), 184–224.

Henning, M. (2019). Regional labour flows between manufacturing and business services: Reciprocal integration and uneven geography. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, forthcoming. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0969776419834065

Hsieh, C.-T., & Moretti, E. (2019). Housing constraints and spatial misallocation. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 11(2), 1–39.

Iammarino, S., Rodriguez-Pose, A. & Storper, M. (2019). Regional inequality in Europe: evidence, theory and policy implications. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 19(2), 273–298.

Karhunen, H. (2008). Regional education capital in Finland in 1970–2005 – Education and growth (in Finnish). University of Jyväskylä, School of Business and Economics, Master's thesis.

Kline, P. & Moretti, E. (2014). People, places, and public policy: Some simple welfare economics of local economic development programs. *Annual Review of Economics*, 6(1), 629–662.

Korpi, M. & Clark, W. A. V. (2019). Migration and occupational careers: The static and dynamic urban wage premium by education and city size. *Papers in Regional Science*, 98(1), 555–574.

Machin, S., Pelkonen, P. & Salvanes K. (2012). Education and mobility. *Journal of the European Economic Association,* 10(2), 417–450.

Marshall, A. (1890). *Principles of Economics.* Macmillan: London.

Mion, G. & Naticchioni, P. (2009). The spatial sorting and matching of skills and firms. *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 42(1), 28–55.

Moretti, E. (2012). *The New Geography of Jobs*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt: New York.

OECD (2018). *Productivity and Jobs in a Globalised World – (How) can all regions benefit?* Paris: OECD.

Ottaviano, G. I. P. & Pinelli, D. (2006). Market potential and productivity: Evidence from Finnish regions. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 36(5), 636–657.

Pekkarinen, T. (2002). Complexity, wages, and the O-ring production function: Evidence from Finnish panel data. *Labour Economics*, 9(4), 531–546.

Roth, B., Becker, N., Romeyke, S., Schäfer, S., Domnick, F. & Spinath, F. M. (2015). Intelligence and school grades: A meta-analysis. *Intelligence*, 53, 118–137.

Spinath, B., Spinath, F. B., Harlaar, N. & Plomin R. (2006). Predicting school achievement from general cognitive ability, self-perceived ability, and intrinsic value. *Intelligence,* 34, 363–374.

Strenze, T. (2007). Intelligence and socioeconomic success: A meta analytic review of longitudinal research. *Intelligence*, 31, 401–426.

Tano, S., Nakosteen, R., Westerlund, O. & Zimmer, M. (2018). Youth age characteristics as precursors of power couple formation and location choice. *Labour Economics*, 52, 98–111.

Venables, A. J. (2011). Productivity in cities: Self-selection and sorting. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 11(2), 241–251.

Venhorst, V., van Dijk, J. & van Wissen, L. (2010). Do the best graduates leave the peripheral areas of the Netherlands? *Tijdschrift voor economische en sociale geografie,* 101(5), 521–537.

Venhorst, V., van Dijk, J. & van Wissen, L. (2011). An analysis of trends in spatial mobility of Dutch graduates? *Spatial Economic Analysis,* 6(1), 57–82.

Wasmer, E. & Zenou, Y. (2002). Does city structure affect job search and welfare? *Journal of Urban Economics,* 51(3), 515–541.

Wheeler, C. H. (2001). Search, sorting, and urban agglomeration. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 19(4), 879–899.

Winters, J. V. (2011). Why are smart cities growing? Who moves and who stays? *Journal of Regional Science*, 51(2), 253–270.

Öst, C. E. (2011). Parental wealth and first-time homeownership: A cohort study of family background and young adults' housing situation in Sweden. *Urban Studies,* 49(10), 2137–2152.

Östbye, S. & Westerlund, O. (2007). Is migration important for regional convergence? Comparative evidence for Norwegian and Swedish counties, 1980–2000. *Regional Studies*, 41(7), 901–915.

APPENDIX

Table A1. Large and medium-sized regions in Finland in 2014

Local labour market	Population	Number of municipalities
Helsinki	1,594,136	27
Tampere	427,178	13
Turku	353,571	19
Oulu	251,258	9
Lahti	195,187	9
Jyväskylä	184,027	9
Kuopio	157,073	10
Pori	128,293	9
Joensuu	121,296	7
Vaasa	112,824	7
Seinäjoki	109,454	5

Table A2. Large and medium-sized regions in Sweden in 2015

Local labour market	Population	Number of municipalities
Stockholm	2,633,139	36
Göteborg	1,325,949	27
Malmö	1,130,500	28
Örebro	290,116	12
Linköping	283,986	10
Västerås	240,715	7
Jönköping	218,931	7
Borås	197,362	6
Karlstad	192,691	9
Skövde-Skara	182,738	10
Norrköping	180,221	4
Kristianstad-Hässleholm	177,333	5
Luleå	174,583	6
Gävle	161,768	5
Eskilstuna	160,920	4
Falun-Borlänge	155,223	6
Umeå	151,025	6
Sundsvall	150,179	4
Växjö	137,784	5
Halmstad	131,661	3
Kalmar	126,841	6
Östersund	105,402	6

Figure A1. Migration rates across local labour markets by age and education

Panel A: Finland in 2015

Panel B: Sweden in 2015

Notes: Data are for local labour markets and based on the location of residence. Migration rates are calculated for individuals in one-year classes in the following age groups: 20–64 (compulsory and upper secondary), 21–64 (short university), and 23–64 (long university). Short university education is defined as one or two years of tertiary-level education. Long university education is defined as at least three years of tertiary-level education (incl. polytechnic degrees in Finland).

Figure A2. Share of university graduates moving *downward* in the regional hierarchy, distributed on deciles of upper secondary school GPA

Panel A: Finland

Panel B: Sweden

Notes: Based on all individuals 32 years or younger who graduated from at least three years of university education during the period 2001–2010. The regions are aggregates of the 69 local labour markets based on their population size in 2015 (see Section 3 for details of the grouping). Migration is based on the location of residence at age 17 relative to the location of residence five years after graduation. Migration from large to small regions is measured on the right-hand axis for Sweden.

Figure A3. Share of university graduates moving *downward* in the regional hierarchy, distributed on parents' education

Panel A: Finland

Panel B: Sweden

Notes: Based on all individuals 32 years or younger who graduated from at least three years of university education during the period 2001–2010. The regions are aggregates of the 69 local labour markets based on their population size in 2015 (see Section 3 for details of the grouping). Migration is based on the location of residence at age 17 relative to the location of residence five years after graduation. Long university education was defined as a tertiary level of education of at least three years.

Figure A4. Share of university graduates moving *upward* in the regional hierarchy, distributed on parental earnings

Panel A: Finland

Panel B: Sweden

Notes: Based on all individuals 32 years or younger who graduated from at least three years of university education during the period 2001–2010. The regions are aggregates of the 69 local labour markets based on their population size in 2015 (see Section 3 for details of the grouping). Migration is based on the location of residence at age 17 relative to the location of residence five years after graduation. Parental earnings refer to the year when the graduates are 17 years old.

Figure A5. Share of university graduates moving *downward* in the regional hierarchy, distributed on parental earnings

Panel A: Finland

Panel B: Sweden

Notes: Based on all individuals 32 years or younger who graduated from at least three years of university education during the period 2001–2010. The regions are aggregates of the 69 local labour markets based on their population size in 2015 (see Section 3 for details of the grouping). Migration is based on the location of residence at age 17 relative to the location of residence five years after graduation. Parental earnings refer to the year when the graduates are 17 years old.